Rapid Assessment Reference Condition Model

The Rapid Assessment is a component of the LANDFIRE project. Reference condition models for the Rapid Assessment were created through a series of expert workshops and a peer-review process in 2004-2005. For more information, please visit www.landfire.gov. Please direct questions to helpdesk@landfire.gov.

| | Potenti | al Natural Veg | etation Gro | up (PNVG): | | |
|--|---|---|------------------------|--|---------------------------|--|
| R0PSMEdy | | ric Interior Dou | | | | |
| | | General I | nformation | | | |
| <u>Contributors</u> (additional <u>Modelers</u> Jeff Jones | nal contributors may be listed under "Model jjones@fs.fed.us | | Reviewers Steve Barret | Evolution and Comments") Reviewers Steve Barrett sbarrett@mtdig.net Cathy Stewart cstewart@fs.fed.us | | |
| Vegetation Type | Genera | Model Sources | | Rapid Assessmen | nt Model Zones | |
| Forested Dominant Species* | ✓ Li □Lo | terature ocal Data spert Estimate | | California Pacific Northwo | Pacific Northwest | |
| PSEUD7 ARTRV2 FEID | _ | IRE Mapping Zor 21 22 29 | nes | Northeast Northern Plains ✓N-Cent.Rockies | S. Appalachians Southwest | |
| Geographic Range East of the Continer | | | astern Idaho, an | nd Wyoming. | | |
| Biophysical Site De The xeric Douglas-felevation. Slopes ra | fir type primarily | | | | nds/ shrublands in | |
| Vegetation Descrip Generally dominate typically open and o | d by Douglas-fir | | | | s. Stands are | |
| Disturbance Descri Fire regime is predo Mixed-severity fires E). Native America | ominantly (70%) s occur with a ty | pical frequency of | 30-50 years pri | marily in dense star | nds (classes B and | |
| Adjacency or Identi This PNVG corresp mountain grasslands grassland/shrubland | onds with cool, s/ sagebrush. Cl | dry Douglas-fir ha | | | | |
| This PNVG may be | similar to the P | NVG R2PSMEdy | from the Great | Basin model zone. | | |
| Scale Description | | Sources of Sca | le Data Liter | rature Local Data | ✓ Expert Estimate | |
| Since this type is do be smaller in size. Of acres would probab | Consequently, fi | | | | | |

Issues/Problems

Model Evolution and Comments

Workshop code was DFIR3.

Review comments incorporated on 3/16/2005, resulting in clarification in description and slightly more surface fires and higher MFI overall.

| Succession classes are | | on Classe." as defined in the | | ok (www.frcc.gov). | | |
|--|--|---|---|--------------------------------------|--|--|
| Class A 10 % Early1 PostRep Description Dominated by bunchgr seed/sapling sized Dou | Indicator Species* Canopy Position PSEUD7 FEID asses, and ARTRV2 glas-fir. Upper Layer Lifeto Herbaceous Shrub Tree | " as defined in the and Structur Cover Height Tree Size Drm Upper Height | Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform) Min Max Cover 0 % 20 % Height no data no data Tree Size Class no data Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are: | | | |
| Class B 5% Mid1 Closed Description Relatively dense pole s Douglas-fir. Sagebrush dropped out of the stan severity fire may open canopy. | has largely d. Mixed <u>Upper Layer Lifefor</u> | Cover Height Tree Size Tm Upper Height | Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform) Min M Cover 40 % 1 | | | |
| Class C 25% Mid1 Open Description Open poles with bunches sagebrush understory. Semaintain the open conditions of the | Surface fires | Cover Height Tree Size M Upper la Height a | Min 0 % no data c Class no data ayer lifeform differs from and cover of dominant life | Max 40 % no data dominant lifeform. | | |

| Class D | 50% | Indicator Species Canopy Position | | Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform) | | | | |
|--|--|---|--------|---|--------------|-------------|----------------------|--|
| Late1 Open | | PSEUD7 | | 0 | - 1 | Min | Max | |
| Description Open canopy of medium to large | | FEID | | Cover | | 0% | 40 % | |
| | | ARTRV2 | | Height | | data | no data | |
| | s with bunchgrass and | | | Tree Size | e Class no | data | | |
| sagebrush understory. Surface fires maintain the open condition. | | Upper Layer Life Herbaceou Shrub Tree Fuel Model no | s | Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are: | | | | |
| Class E | 10% | Indicator Species* and Canopy Position | | - Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform) | | | | |
| Late1 Closed | | | | | 1 | Иin | Max | |
| Description | | PSEUD7 | | Cover | | 40 % | 100 % | |
| | Danalas fin with | | | Height | no | data | no data | |
| | Douglas-fir with story. Mixed severity | | | Tree Size | e Class no | data | | |
| fire may open up the canopy. | | Upper Layer Life Herbaceou Shrub Tree Fuel Model no | data | Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are: | | | | |
| | | Dist | turbai | nces | | | | |
| Non-Fire Dist ✓ Insects/Dis ✓ Wind/Wea ☐ Native Gra ☐ Competitio ☐ Other: ☐ Other: | ather/Stress azing | Fire Regime Group: 1: 0-35 year frequency, low and mixed severity II: 0-35 year frequency, replacement severity III: 35-200 year frequency, low and mixed severity IV: 35-200 year frequency, replacement severity V: 200+ year frequency, replacement severity | | | | | | |
| Historical Fire Avg: Min: Max: | e Size (acres) | Fire Intervals (FI): Fire interval is expressed in years for each fire severity class and for all types of fire combined (All Fires). Average FI is the central tendency modeled. Minimum and maximum show the relative range of fire intervals, if known. Probability is the inverse of fire interval in years and is used in reference condition modeling. Percent of all fires is the percent of all fires in that severity class. All values are estimates and not precise. | | | | | | |
| Courses of " | vo Donimo Data | | Avg FI | Min FI | Max FI | Probability | Percent of All Fires | |
| | re Regime Data | Replacement | 165 | 100 | 300 | 0.00606 | 12 | |
| ✓ Literatu | ıre | Mixed | 100 | 30 | 100 | 0.01 | 19 | |
| ☐Local Data | | Surface | 28 | 15 | 40 | 0.03571 | 69 | |

All Fires

19

✓Expert Estimate

0.05177

References

Barrett, Steve. 2004. Personal communication and fire history database. June 17, 2004.

Barrett, S. W. 2004. Fire Regimes in the Northern Rockies. Fire Management Today 64(2): 32-38.

Barrett, S. W. 2004. Altered fire intervals and fire cycles in the Northern Rockies. Fire Management Today 64(3): 25-29.

Fischer, William C.; Clayton, Bruce D. 1983. Fire ecology of Montana forest habitat types east of the Continental Divide. Gen. Tech. Rep. INT-141. Ogden, UT: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Intermountain Forest and Range Experiment Station. 83 p.

Pfister, R. D., B. L. Kovalchik, S. F. Arno, and R. C. Presby. 1977. Forest habitat types of Montana. USDA Forest Service, Intermountain Forest and Range Experiment Station, General Technical Report, INT-34.